LR 7-99

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

(1897-98.)
~~191~~ .
(L.R)

File No. 58-97

Serial Nos. 1-9

Later references.
LR 322-24-(1-4)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-9

In ~~Part~~ B for August 1899

SUBJECT.

'Claim to the Patels of Sirsiatti, Sirsimaniajapeth ~~Inspam~~ &c. Taluk

Indexed.

W D 1250—GPB—30,000 28-1-19

Before the Government of Mysore.

Appeal No. 23 of 1898-99

Appellant.	Vs.	Respondent.
Doddegauda		Dyavegauda

Appeal against the order of the Deputy Commr Mysore District, directing that the Respondent should be joint Patel with the Appellant of the village of Karahalli, Krishnarajapet Taluk.

Posted for hearing
on 3rd July 1899 J.

Subd to 1st Councillor BR 10.6.99

11491 Enquiry what

4.7.99

23

Before the Government of Mysore.

Appeal No. 23 of 1898-99.

Appellant.	Vs.	Respondent.
Doddi Gauda		Bare Gauda

Notice served
3 - 7 - 99.

adjd 5 4th
Hy

4/7/99

Parties present.

Potigi Ld. R 15-12-11:

Jgt com'd & decree reversed
Hy 4/7/99.

7. Refer
2/3/98

LR 58-97-1

1898

(2)

1979-97 is put up

Mind
3/3/98

Subd

(1) The defts stamp of one rupee has been supplied to make up 2 Rs

(2) The order-appealed against has been communicated to petr & the others in Endorsement No 539 dt 6.11.97.

MG
4/3/98

1) Stamp defts

2) Time

3) appeal against DJ confirms order appointing the C-petr Dyave Gowda as joint patel of the village Sarahalli along with the petr

4) Counsel case

The petr says that the pattr brought 15 Rs (which corresponds to a revenue of Rs 300) & so the necessity for 2 patels is not there. Sub to Commissioner's opinion.

MG 9/8/98

RC 6.30 pm
9/3/98

Case record
Considered
Order
14.3.98

No. 2207 23/98-99.

Name of Petitioner Patel Doddegowda

Village Sarahalli

Taluk Krishnarajpet

District Mysore

Date of Arzi 23-2-98

Date of Receipt 26-2-98

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure (3)

ABSTRACT

Intimation of the appt Lr dt No 1979, dt 12-2-98 returning his Petn No 1979 as being insufficiently stamped returned the same with the difference in stamps and with a Petn Lr dt received by him.

Encs.

1. Petn Lr dt 6-11-97
2. Petr to the addl Lr dt
3. Taluk Lr dt 31-1-98 conveying Deputy Commrs sanction to the petitioners appointment as patel

R 1/3/98

Pet 1173 P2

18-3-98

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

3

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Appeal Petition No. 2207 dated 23-2-98 received at the Dewan's Office from Patel Boddegowda of Sarahally in the Krishnarajpet Taluk, appealing against the order passed by Cowercherla, appointing Complete Registered as Joint Patel of Sarahally, village of the Taluk is forwarded, together with its three enclosures, to the Deputy Commissioner of the Mysore District for submission of the entire proceedings connected with the case, together with a full report of the facts of the case, and on the points urged in the petition.

2. The petition with its enclosures should be returned.

LK 58-97-2

By order,

C2

Secretary.

BANGALORE, 3 1898

17-3-98

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
No. 9361
N. 22 1898
Petn 695
DEWAN'S OFFICE

189

From

The Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

Dated 15
Despatched } June 1898.
Received

Enclosures No. Petition &c. 237 with 3 encl. & Chavare record.

General No. 1453.

Regarding an application from Patel Basavegowda of Sarahalli in the Krishnarajpete Taluk.

CR 58-97-3

Re S Comm^r's request to state the particulars of the Land revenue of the Hamlet of the Village & the proper Taluk to which it belongs

30/6/98

Draft put up

30/6/98

Petition No 2

Reply put up

AK
23/6/98

Issued

MLJ
24.6.98

No. 1405

Enclosures No. Petition with 5 Encl + record.

OFFICE OF THE Deputy Commissioner,
MYSORE DISTRICT,

Dated Mysore 13 June 1898.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

SIR,

Adverting to your official memorandum No. Pch 1173 dated 15 March 1898, forwarding for report a petition from Patel Dasaie Gowda & Canarahalli in the Krishnarajpet taluk appealing against this office order appointing counter petitioner Dyave Gowda as joint Patel of the said village, I have the honor to state that according to the Barabalast Register, the petitioner and Doje Gowda father of the counter petitioner were the joint Patels of the village in question. In 1888, Doje Gowda having

having an account of old age and infirmity pleaded inability to perform the duties of the office, his son Ogawa Gowda (Counter petitioner) who was at the time 20 years of age was appointed in his place and the petitioner directed to officiate as the Patel of the village by an order of the then Sub Division Officer, Puttur No. 3:2 dated 15th March 1888.

2. In 1894, the Counter petitioner addressed a petition to the Tahsildar of the Kushalnagar taluk claiming to be permitted to officiate jointly with Doddi Gowda (petitioner) and the Tahsildar supported the claim but the Sub Division Officer Puttur

Panchamatha

Panchanatha Row after carrying on some correspondence on the subject rejected the petition in his order No 334 dated 5th September 1896 on the ground that Lopis Gowda had when resigning the office in favour of his son Ogave Gowda (Counter petitioner) given a Kaifiat dated 17th February 1885 Consenting to the petitioner officiating as the sole Patel of the village. The Counter petitioner having appealed to this office against the Sub Division Officers order, I perused the records of the case and heard both the parties in person.

3. (Dodda Gowda) petitioner) stated

that

that he, and before him, his late father (Vidla Gowda), were the sole officiating Patels of the Village and that the Counter-petitioner's father & the Gowda had never professed the duties except on one occasion and at his (Petitioner's) instance. He promised to produce copies of official records in support of his allegation but he failed to do so though given with a notice in the matter.

4. In his Rejoinder referred to above, Lepe Gowda wished that his son (Counter-petitioner) should officiate jointly with Vadiegowda but stated as an alternative

that

that if such an arrangement was inadmissible under the rules, the latter alone might agitate the Counter-petitioner being registered as Patel. Moreover, the consent of Jotegowda (since deceased) could not bind his son Byregowda (Counter-petitioner) who was at the time a free agent and well-qualified to do the duties of Patel.

5. Under these circumstances, I perused the Sub Division Officer's order and directed that both the parties should agitate, one as (Revenue Patel and the other as (Police Patel the fees being divided equally between them.

6 The petitioner has accordingly been entrusted with the Police

duties

duties connected with the Village.

3. The Proceedings, relating to the case as per accompanying Extract are herewith submitted and the enclosures of your Memorandum under reply are returned.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obdt Servant.

[illegible]

for Deputy Commissioner

of the Mysore District

Compd
mcl

1898

5

From

The Secretary to Govt
Genl and Rev. Dept

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore Dt

Dated 30-5

Despatched 5/7 June/98

Received

General No. 1547 P
P. No. 1545

LR 58-97-4

Enclosure No.

The Dy. Comrs letter
No 1653 dated 18th June 1898,
reporting on the Petition
of Doddegowda, Patel
of Sarahalli village in
the Krishnarajpet Taluk.

In returning the
papers received with the
above for reference and
resubmission, I have the
honor to request that a
further report may be sub-
mitted as to the population
no of houses and the land revenue
of the village, and the
Police attached to the
Patel in question

By order

for Secretary

30/5/98

1898-ನೆ ಸಾಲಿನ
4-7-98 ಕಾಗದ

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 2045
SEP 16 1898
DEWAN'S OFFICE

189 8

From 82
169

To
The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
Revenue and General Departments.

Dated 12th September 1898.

Despatched

Received

General No.

No. 292

Enclosure No. Memorandum of plan

Submitting further explanations on the petition of Srinivasa Iyengar, Retd. Sheristadar, Srirangapatna, praying for grant of Inam land.

Petition B/M
10/9/98

Rec'd 19/9

Rep's petition

20/9/98

Submd

20/9/98

Submd 12th Cancelled with a view to the petn (20.1998)

19/10/98

Submitted in the usual course

17.10.98

cc

19/10/98

LA 56-97-8

No. 292
Enclosure No. Vernacular Paper

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated 20th September 1895.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue and General Departments.

SIR,

12507

Adverting to your Memo. No. [illegible]
dated 30th June 1895 calling for the
report regarding the petition of Gowda,
Kadoor-Taluk, village in the
Krishnarajpet Taluq, Mysore District
that the jurisdiction of the village be
the records of Class IV, the land revenue
included in Miscellaneous (No. 8-4-5)
No. 358-4- and the accounts of the Patel
attached to the Patel in question, No. 8-2-
2- The Inspector records endorsing with
the Circle's report No. dated 23rd
August 1895 to the address of the Sub-Divisional
Officer are herewith forwarded.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

LA.S 88-97-6

(8)

Regr of the Court of Appeal

Appt
Dadiba Gonda

Respt
Dyana Gonda

Read:-

Petition of appeal No 2207 dated 23rd Feby 98 presented by Dadiba Gonda Patel of Sarahally village in the Krishnarajpett Taluk, against the order of this Court dated 23rd Jany 98 in Appeal No 23 of 98 praying that the respondent Dyana Gonda be appointed Patel of Sarahally village along with the petitioner

2. Correspondence ending with letter No 232 2/12-9-98 from the Dy Commr Mysore dtd 12th reporting on the above

3. The records of the case

From the Register 1883, the appt and respondent, sons of the Respt were joint Patels of the village of Sarahally. In 1883 Dodda Gonda resigned his office owing to infirmity and old age, in favor of his son Dyana Gonda. (Respondent) who was recognized as joint Patel of the village. Appt was

Draft prepd
Submd to 1st Counsellor
14/7/99

117 3/4
All as above
14/7/99

Submd to 2nd counsellor
15/7/99

R.S. 30 Rs
15.7.99.

17.7.99

19/7/99
Recd
19/7/99

directed to officiate as adc.
Patrl by order of the Govr
Sub Dn Officer dated 13-3-83.
This arrangement continued
till 1891, when the Respts
Dy Supdt declined that
he should be allowed to officiate
as joint patrl. The Sub-
Dn Officer rejected the claim
on the ground that Dy Supdt,
when resigning the office
in favor of his son, had,
in writing, consented to
the appellant officiating
as the sole patrl of the village
in question. On appeal
the Dy Commr held, that the statement
of Dy Supdt was not
sufficient, and that the
respondent
if he could depose to the facts,
as interpreted by the Sub-
Dn Officer, it could not be held
binding on
his son that
he was a free agent and
made gratified to do so
Patrl duties and directed

in reversal of the Sub Div Officer's order that the Respt should reside as Revenue patel and the appte as police patel. Hence this second appeal to Govt. The reference being made in the Collr's letter dtd stated in his letter No 282 of 12-9-98 that the Revenue of the village is Rs 353-4- and the potgi Rs 15-12-

(52)

Collr's No 724-31 of 7-99 dtd 22-7-99

The village is small and the potgi insignificant. The deputy Collr's enquiry cannot be expected to be accordingly the order dtd 14/7/99 appointing the appellant as Revenue patel during his life time.

C/R Respt
C/2

Secretary
14/7/99

20 Records returned with the Records of the case

+ Xerox copy furnished 21/7

+ 95-57-200 Rs 22-7-99

1899-1900

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

PETITION

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 21st July 1899

No. 724-31
Pet. F. 7-99

Setting aside the order of the Deputy Commissioner appointing Dyavegauda (respondent) as joint-patel with appellant Doddegauda of Sarahalli, Krishnarajpete taluk, the village being small and the potgi insufficient.

SBP—31-7-99—200

Appellant		Respondent
Doddegauda.	*versus*	Dyavegauda.

READ—

Petition of Appeal No. 2207—A. S. 23 of 1898-99, dated 23rd February 1898, presented by Doddegauda, patel of Sarahalli village in the Krishnarajpete taluk, against the order of the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore district, appointing Dyavegauda as joint-patel of Sarahalli village along with the petitioner.

2. Correspondence ending with letter No. 292, dated 12th September 1898, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore district, reporting on the above.

3. The records of the case.

Prior to 1888, the appellant and Tapegauda, father of the respondent, were joint-patels of the village of Sarahalli. In 1888 Tapegauda resigned his office, owing to infirmity and old age, in favor of his son Dyavegauda (respondent) who was thereupon registered as joint-patel of the village. Appellant was directed to officiate as sole patel by order of the then Sub-Division Officer, dated 13th March 1888. This arrangement continued till 1894 when the respondent Dyavegauda claimed that he should be allowed to officiate as joint-patel. The Sub-Division Officer rejected the claim on the ground that Tapegauda, when resigning the office in favor of his son, had, in writing, consented to the appellant's officiating as the sole patel. On appeal the Deputy Commissioner was of opinion that the statement of Tapegauda, which was misconstrued by the Sub-Division Officer, could not be held binding on his son, who was well qualified to do the pateli duties and directed, in reversal of the Sub-Division Officer's order, that the respondent should officiate as Revenue patel and the appellant as Police patel. Hence this second appeal to Government. The Deputy Commissioner in reply to a reference made to him states in his letter No. 292, dated 12th September 1898, that the revenue of the village is Rs. 258-4-0 and the potgi Rs. 15-12-0

No. 724-31—PET. F. 7-99, DATED BANGALORE, 21ST JULY 1899.

ORDER THEREON.—As the village is small and the potgi insufficient to maintain two patels, the Deputy Commissioner's order cannot be supported. It is accordingly set aside and the Government direct that appellant be allowed to continue in office as sole patel during his life-time.

C. SREENIVASIENGAR,
Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, with records.
The Deputy Commissioners of other Districts.

LR 58-77

Draft
Date
19/7

By Order

For Secretary

By Order

For Secretary

Refer
19.8.99

1526 of 98 & 7 of 99.

M.R
19/8/99 O.P. 7 of 99 relating to the Subject is put up

AK
19/8/99 Ld 56-17-8
Subd

(1) Two Rs stamps affixed - Sufficient

(2) Is an application for review of Govt order No 724-31 / 777-98 of 21st April 1899 - In time

MR
22.8.99 Has not the order been printed?
Ry 23/8/99
Printed copies obtained from the Despatch clerk and put up

AK
24/8/99 Subd Ld Asst Commr
Ry 24/8/99

Rd 7 Com
24.8.99 Nobody has been present in this case. Papers may be returned
25.8.99

AK
25/8/99 Subd Ry 25/8/99

Asst Keeper Sent
put up 26.8.99
YS.

(9)

1899

No. 340

Name of Petitioner Byrava Gowda son of Bodday Gowda

Village Sharahalli

Taluk Krishnarajapet

District Mysore

Date of Arzi

Date of Receipt 14-8-99.

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure one.

ABSTRACT

This is an application for review of Govt order No. 724-31/777-98 dated 14-7-99 passed in re the Patelli of Sharahalli, Krishnarajapet Taluk.

The Petr urges that, from the Barahabandy register it is evident that his father, grand father & great-grand-father were the holders of the above patelli office; that though Bodde Gowda has been ordered to do the Patelli duties only during his life time & no more, yet the Petr being

the hakdar as acknowledged by Govt should be permitted to share the office, with Vasudevgowda even during his life-time alone, the one doing the Police & the other the Revenue work as is the case in Hosahalli, Haralahalli & other neighbouring villages, enjoying half the potgi amount; that the Vg: community has in favour of the above arrangement; that the Relvr is strong, healthy & able to do work; that the smallness of the potgi amount should not come in the way of passing the above order as the Patels work is done more for honour than for gain.

Prays for orders directing him to do the Patels work of Channahalli village jointly with Vasudevgowda.

Encl. Sno Thumthen-
-ticate copy of Govt
Govt No. 279-22073
19-7-99.

Sm
18/8/99.



Fourth stamp affixed only.

340

In the Court of the Commissioners
for the Court of Negombo

Revision Petn

of 1897 Nov



Petitioners
Respt in the
appeal before
the Commissioners
} 1 Dyaratna Jinadasa
son of Thoraya Jinadasa
living in Sharahally
Southern Pachally
Hobby 1 Kristina Rajapakse
Tailor

1 The petitioner begs the honorable
Court to revise the order passed
by this Honorable Court ~~there~~
~~order passed~~ with the matter of
Polcaly of Sharahally, Southern
Pachally Hobby -

2 From the Barabaloody account
it is apparent that the Petitioner
Dyaratna Jinadasa's father Thoraya
Jinadasa, his father Hutchiraya Jinada
this father Dalaya Jinadasa have
been headmen of all the Polcaly of
the said village -

II one Kullay Goorda was taken
by the ~~Abandhi~~ of the petitioners
father to help him. Doodayya
Goorda who was the appellant
in the above case after Dy Comrs
order, is the son of that Kullay Goorda

III Your honorable Court
has decided that the other
man Doodayya Goorda alone
may do the duties & that only
during his life-time.

IV There is no doubt that the other
man of the said Doodayya Goorda
cannot possibly have any
other ~~duty~~ right than perhaps
to do the duty only during his
life & no further, as held by
your honorable court ~~in~~
~~decision~~

V The petitioner submits, that
even during the lifetime of the
other man whose right ends
only during his lifetime & no longer
your honor may be pleased
to direct that the petitioner
also may look after the duty
jointly or one man & look after
the others & the other, the Devasthanam
work.

VI There are several villages in the Taluq, which are smaller in extent & Rholigy than which Revenue & Polaly works done by Polais dividing the Rholigy - The villages of Hosally Haralahally, Boppanahally Billapahally etc are in the same surroundings, have 2 Polais dividing work & Rholigy equally -

VII Moreover the Tyloor who is an executive officer also has thought it fit that there may be 2 Polais & that the Petitioner ought also do the work -

VIII Your honor holding the Petitioner to be the hagdar & that the other party has only a life time interest, it is no consolation for the petitioner to be contented, to await the death of the other man, since the Petitioner is physically strong & since they work as Polais more as a matter of honor & prestige

them for making a living by
Phology: Hence the Petitioners
of Phology cannot come in the
way of the Petitioner who is declared
in Baghdad, when he offers
to work, the Govt is no loser
without the least inconvenience
or loss, whilst many be given
both Petitioners by directing
that the Petitioner may be
allowed to work & take the
Phology which [illegible]
[illegible]

(2) [illegible]

N.B. The copy of the Lordship
Given by your Honorable Court
is herewith sent of the Memorial
Petitioners filed upon the
Proceedings

(2) [illegible]

LR 58-97-J

Annexure 340 (10) 29/8/99

Office Memorandum

Subject: Appointment of Shri

Reference Shri's application dated

Shri is hereby appointed to the post of

on the following terms and conditions:

1. His appointment is purely temporary and on ad hoc basis.

2. He will be paid a consolidated salary of Rs. per month.

3. He will be entitled to Dearness Allowance at the rate admissible from time to time.

4. He will be entitled to Leave Travel Concession for himself and his family once in a block of two years.

5. He will be entitled to Medical Facilities as per rules.

6. He will be entitled to Leave as per rules.

7. His appointment is subject to his being found medically fit by a Medical Officer.

8. His appointment is subject to his character and antecedents being verified to the satisfaction of the Government.

9. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his date of birth from the Matriculation or equivalent certificate.

10. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his educational qualifications.

11. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his caste.

12. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his residence.

13. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his character.

14. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his health.

15. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his fitness.

16. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good conduct.

17. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his regularity.

18. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his punctuality.

19. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his diligence.

20. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his honesty.

21. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his loyalty.

22. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his obedience.

23. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his discipline.

24. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good behaviour.

25. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good character.

26. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good health.

27. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good fitness.

28. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good conduct.

29. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good regularity.

30. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good punctuality.

31. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good diligence.

32. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good honesty.

33. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good loyalty.

34. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good obedience.

35. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good discipline.

36. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good behaviour.

37. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good character.

38. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good health.

39. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good fitness.

40. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good conduct.

41. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good regularity.

42. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good punctuality.

43. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good diligence.

44. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good honesty.

45. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good loyalty.

46. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good obedience.

47. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good discipline.

48. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good behaviour.

49. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good character.

50. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good health.

51. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good fitness.

52. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good conduct.

53. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good regularity.

54. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good punctuality.

55. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good diligence.

56. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good honesty.

57. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good loyalty.

58. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good obedience.

59. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good discipline.

60. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good behaviour.

61. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good character.

62. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good health.

63. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good fitness.

64. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good conduct.

65. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good regularity.

66. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good punctuality.

67. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good diligence.

68. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good honesty.

69. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good loyalty.

70. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good obedience.

71. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good discipline.

72. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good behaviour.

73. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good character.

74. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good health.

75. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good fitness.

76. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good conduct.

77. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good regularity.

78. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good punctuality.

79. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good diligence.

80. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good honesty.

81. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good loyalty.

82. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good obedience.

83. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good discipline.

84. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good behaviour.

85. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good character.

86. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good health.

87. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good fitness.

88. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good conduct.

89. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good regularity.

90. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good punctuality.

91. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good diligence.

92. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good honesty.

93. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good loyalty.

94. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good obedience.

95. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good discipline.

96. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good behaviour.

97. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good character.

98. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good health.

99. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good fitness.

100. His appointment is subject to his producing a certificate of his good conduct.

Dated: 26-8-99

By order

Offg.

C2

for Secretary